arXiv:1612.07596v1 [math.DG] 22 Dec 2016

The ciconia metric on the tangent bundle of an almost-Hermitian manifold

R. Albuquerque

December 23, 2016

Abstract

We discover a new class of invariant metrics existing on the tangent bundle of any given almost-Hermitian manifold. We focus on the case of a Riemann surface. These metrics yield new examples of complete, Kählerian and Ricci-flat manifolds in four dimensions.

Key Words: tangent bundle, structure group, Kähler-Einstein metric, Ricci-flat metric

MSC 2010: Primary: 32Q20, 53C10; Secondary: 53C20, 53C55

1 A new invariant metric

1.1 The diagonal structure of the tangent manifold

It is widely known that the total space of the tangent bundle of any given C^k manifold carries the natural structure of a C^{k-1} differentiable manifold, of twice the dimension. It is also very well understood the existence of a natural pseudo-Riemannian structure on the same total space, the so-called canonical or Sasaki metric, when the base manifold is endowed with a pseudo-Riemannian structure. This article introduces a more general invariant construction, which can be generalised to almost-Hermitian geometry in any dimension.

Let $\pi : TM \longrightarrow M$ denote the tangent vector bundle of a smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g). We denote by T_M the total space of the vector bundle $(TM, M, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R}))$ where $m = \dim M$. In order to proceed swiftly into the main results, we let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of M. The natural vertical tangent bundle to T_M, this is,

$V := \ker \mathrm{d}\pi$, admits a tautological section U defined by $U_u = u \in T_{\pi(u)}M$. Then the well-established theory proves two identifications; first, that $V = \pi^\star TM$ and, second, that $H^\nabla := \ker(\pi^\star \nabla . U)$ defines a vector bundle complement to V. Indeed, $\pi^\star \nabla_x U = x^v$, $\forall x \in TT_M$ (we use canonical notation for the projections). Hence the horizontal distribution H^∇ is also identified isomorphically with $\pi^* TM$, via $\mathrm{d}\pi_| : H^\nabla \longrightarrow \pi^* TM$, as vector bundles over T_M.

With the canonical splitting $TT_M := T(T_M) = H^\nabla \oplus V \simeq \pi^* TM \oplus \pi^\star TM$ comes the notion of a mirror endomorphism B of TT_M. The indeed endomorphism B sends a horizontal vector to the respective vertical lift and sends any vertical vector to 0. Then we have the notion of *adapted* frame on T_M, i.e. a horizontal lift of a frame on M together with its mirror in V. These frames yield the reduction of the structure group $\mathrm{GL}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ to $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. The representation of the smaller subgroup follows from its diagonal inclusion in the larger. Recall $TM \longrightarrow M$ is a vector bundle associated to the principal frame bundle $FM \longrightarrow M$. Now, given the connection ∇, the vector bundle $TT_M \longrightarrow T_M$ is associated to $\pi^* FM \longrightarrow T_M$ with the same structure group.

Notice, for any tensor field on M, we write π^* to denote a pull-back or horizontal lift to T_M, and write $\pi^\star$ to denote a vertical lift. Regarding nomenclature, the tautological vector field is also known as the Liouville vector field and $B^\dagger U$ as the geodesic spray. We shall intensely use the following associated linear connection over T_M. We denote by ∇^* the *direct sum* of the pull-back connection $\pi^* \nabla$ on both sides of the canonical splitting of TT_M. In particular, we find $\nabla^* B = 0$.

Clearly the reduction to the diagonal subgroup carries through $\mathrm{GL}_+(2m, \mathbb{R})$. The tangent manifold is thus always orientable, independently of M. Recalling [1], we also remark that T_M is a spin manifold if and only if M is orientable.

Now, introducing the Riemannian structure, we may consider the frames which are orthonormal. Then we proceed with a reduction of FM to the principal bundle with structure Lie subgroup $\mathrm{O}(m)$. A reduction of the original structure group of TT_M follows as above, from the natural diagonal inclusions

$$\mathrm{O}(m) \subset \mathrm{O}(m) \times \mathrm{O}(m) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \tag{1}$$

and from the existence of adapted frames, which is a peculiar feature of tangent bundles.

Before going further, we observe that one may considerably generalise the questions below by letting g have indefinite signature, but that shall not be pursued. We remark also that one may study product metrics on any total spaces of pseudo-Riemannian vector bundles over pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, of any rank, through analogous decomposition of their tangent bundle, cf. [2, 4]. But in such cases the structure Lie group stays in general at the level of a product Lie group. One may still include weights on horizontal or vertical directions, as we shall do below.

The so-called g-natural metrics on tangent bundles have never ceased being studied, since the Sasaki metric was first discovered. References [1, 3, 7, 8] and others therein may guide the interested reader. Several Riemannian metrics of different type on tangent

bundles have been discovered in the latest decades, in the breadth of ideas such as those described in [5].

1.2 The new almost-Hermitian metric

Regarding the reduction to $\mathrm{O}(m)$ on the tangent manifold, which has now become evident, a new structure is admissible without further imposing any restrictions on the base manifold. Moreover, such new structure is impossible to realize on other vector bundle manifolds.

Taking any isomorphism $A \in \mathrm{End}\,(TT_M)$, symmetric for the canonical metric, one may define another metric on the same manifold by $A\lrcorner(\pi^*g \oplus \pi^\star g)$. In particular, we consider the following symmetric bilinear-form:

$$\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h} = f\pi^*g + g_a + h\pi^\star g \tag{2}$$

where f and h are real functions, $f, h : T_M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and g_a is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_a(x^h, y^v) &= \pi^\star g(a(x^h), y^v) \\ &= \pi^* g(x^h, a^\dagger(y^v)), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$\forall u \in T_M$, $\forall x, y \in T_{\pi(u)}M$, with a an endomorphism of TT_M such that $a(x^v) = a^\dagger(x^h) = 0$. Still there is more to this example, since it coincides with the general case.

Proposition 1.1. *Any Riemannian structure given by $A\lrcorner(\pi^*g \oplus \pi^\star g)$ is compatible with the reduction to the structure group $\mathrm{O}(m)$ if and only if it is of the above type* (2).

Indeed, the metric being defined independently of the choice of adapted frame is the same as A being invariant for the diagonal representation. Equivalently, with respect to the canonical splitting of TT_M, there is a vector bundle morphism $a : H^\nabla \longrightarrow V$, commuting with the $\mathrm{O}(m)$-representation, and there exist functions f, h as above such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} f1_m & a^\dagger \\ a & h1_m \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The result follows from the next Lemma, which further determines all possible a.

Lemma 1.1. *A symmetric linear map A from the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ onto itself commutes with the diagonal representation of $\mathrm{SO}(m)$, respectively $\mathrm{O}(m)$, if and only if there exist $f, h \in \mathbb{R}$ and a linear map $a : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ in the commutator subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(m)$, respectively $\mathrm{O}(m)$, such that A has the appearance of* (4).

Moreover, in the case of $\mathrm{O}(m)$, then $a = b1_m$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$; and in the case of $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ then there are two possibilities:

(i) for $m = 2$ we have $a = b + ic = \begin{bmatrix} b & -c \\ c & b \end{bmatrix}$ with $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i = \sqrt{-1})$;

(ii) for $m > 2$ we have $a = b1_m$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The first part is trivial: writing A as a four blocks symmetric matrix, then A commutes with $\begin{bmatrix} o & \\ & o \end{bmatrix}$, $\forall o \in \mathrm{O}(m)$, if and only if it is of the desired shape. For the second part, the commutator subgroup of the orthogonal group in $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})$ is easily determined from Lie algebra theory. The exception in the case oriented and $m = 2$ is immediate. ■

Clearly, the identity map $a = 1_m$ corresponds to the morphism B restricted to horizontals.

Proposition 1.2. *Let* $\triangle = fh - |a|^2$. *Then the metric* $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ *is:*
(i) positive definite if and only if $f > 0$ *and* $\triangle > 0$;
(ii) negative definite if and only if $f < 0$ *and* $\triangle > 0$;
(iii) of signature (m,m) *if and only if* $f < 0$ *and* $\triangle < 0$ *or* $f = 0$ *and* $a \neq 0$ *or* $f > 0$ *and* $\triangle < 0$, *in other words, if and only if* $\triangle < 0$.

No other signatures may occur.

The g-natural metrics on tangent bundles are vastly studied in the literature. For instance, the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\mathrm{g}_{0,1_m,0}$ was found by K. Yano. They coincide with the metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ above when considered in general dimension m, i.e. when we do not enter in the details of case $m = 2$. Therefore, in what follows, we shall not attempt to bring any further light upon the general case.

We assume from now on the manifold M is orientable, so that we may start from an $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ structure, and thus consider case (i) in Lemma 1.1.

Definition 1.1. *Given the smooth functions* f, h *and* $a = b + ic$ *on* T_M, *the new g-natural metric from* (2) *shall be called a* ciconia metric.

The ciconia metric matrix on an adapted orthonormal frame is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} f & & b & c \\ & f & -c & b \\ b & -c & h & \\ c & b & & h \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

We notice that all the metrics considered are compatible with the diagonal lift $\pi^*J \oplus \pi^\star J$ of any metric compatible almost-complex structure J on M. This holds simply because J is a vector bundle isometry. In particular, in dimension 2, the metric is compatible with natural 90 degree rotation. This last remark proves to be extremely helpful, as we shall see in the next Section.

The previous findings also show we may proceed with analogous definition of a ciconia metric on the total space of the tangent bundle of any given almost-Hermitian, i.e. $\mathrm{U}(m/2)$-manifold, for any even m. The shape of (5) arising from a unitary frame remains, since the commutator of the unitary group is $\{b1_{m/2} + ic1_{m/2},\ b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *The ciconia metric on the tangent manifold of any almost-Hermitian manifold is itself almost-Hermitian.*

Leaving aside the trivial endomorphisms a, the definition of the metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ is entirely new in the literature. Its importance lies mainly in the case $c \neq 0$. We stress the real possibility of the general definition of the metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ comes from the reduction of the structure Lie group of the frame bundle of T_M to the Lie group $\mathrm{O}(m)$.

1.3 Equations of ciconia metric

The best way to grasp the geometry of ciconia metrics seems to be by recurring to isothermal coordinates. Recall every Riemannian surface M with Hölder continuous metric is locally conformal to the Euclidean plane, thus providing the isothermal coordinates. We proceed with these sufficiently general hypothesis, which include analytic spaces. Or rather let us assume we have a smooth metric g on M given locally by

$$g = \lambda \, \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\overline{z}, \tag{6}$$

for some real smooth function $\lambda > 0$ and complex coordinates $z = x + iy$ on an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset M$.

We identify $T_z M$ with $T_z^{1,0} M = \mathbb{C}\partial_z$, both restricted to the set $\mathcal{U}$. This linear map is defined by $\partial_x \longmapsto \partial_z$, $\partial_y \longmapsto i\partial_z$ where we denote $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)$. We shall also require $\partial_{\overline{z}} = \overline{\partial_z}$. Clearly, the metric g satisfies

$$\lambda = g(\partial_x, \partial_x) = g(\partial_y, \partial_y) = 2g(\partial_z, \partial_{\overline{z}}). \tag{7}$$

With the usual abbreviation $\nabla_z = \nabla_{\partial_z}$, we may certainly write $\nabla_z \partial_z = \Gamma_1 \partial_z + \Gamma_2 \partial_{\overline{z}}$ and, due to torsion-less, $\nabla_{\overline{z}} \partial_z = \nabla_z \partial_{\overline{z}} = \Gamma_3 \partial_z + \Gamma_4 \partial_{\overline{z}}$, for some complex-valued functions $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_4$ on $\mathcal{U}$. Since ∇ is a real operator, $\nabla_{\overline{z}} \partial_{\overline{z}} = \overline{\Gamma}_2 \partial_z + \overline{\Gamma}_1 \partial_{\overline{z}}$ as well as $\Gamma_4 = \overline{\Gamma}_3$. This leads to the following Proposition.

Proposition 1.3.

$$\nabla_z \mathrm{d}z = -\Gamma \mathrm{d}z, \qquad \nabla_z \mathrm{d}\overline{z} = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z}, \qquad \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4 = 0. \tag{9}$$

Let us also recall the formulae for the curvature and sectional curvature of M are given respectively by

$$R^{\nabla}(\partial_z, \partial_{\overline{z}})\partial_z = \nabla_z \nabla_{\overline{z}} \partial_z - \nabla_{\overline{z}} \nabla_z \partial_z = -\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \overline{z}} \partial_z = -\frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}} \partial_z, \tag{10}$$

$$K = \frac{g(R^{\nabla}(\partial_z, \partial_{\overline{z}})\partial_z, \partial_{\overline{z}})}{g(\partial_z, \partial_{\overline{z}})^2} = -\frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \overline{z}} = -\frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}}. \tag{11}$$

Next we consider the open subset $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset T_M$. We have trivialising coordinates

$$T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(z, w) : \ z \in \mathcal{U}, \ w \in \mathbb{C}\} \tag{12}$$

and hence, writing $w = s + it, \ s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the tautological vector field

$$U_{(z,w)} = s\partial_s + t\partial_t = w\partial_w + \overline{w}\partial_{\overline{w}}. \tag{13}$$

Clearly, isothermal coordinates z on M are compatible with an induced integrable complex structure, which is unique up to orientation if M is orientable. Transition maps between isothermal coordinates are indeed holomorphic, as it is easy to prove. This implies that the tangent bundle $TM \longrightarrow M$ inherits a complex structure from M and the structure of a holomorphic vector bundle. In particular T_M becomes a holomorphic manifold, cf. [6].

It follows that ∂_w generates the vertical $+i$-eigenvectors or $(1,0)$-vectors in $(TT_{\mathcal{U}})^{\mathbb{C}}$. Now we recall the pullback connection ∇^* and search for a generator of the horizontal $+i$-eigenbundle.

Proposition 1.4. *We have* $(H^{\nabla}_{(z,w)})^{1,0} = \mathbb{C}X$ *where*

$$X = \partial_z - w\Gamma\partial_w. \tag{14}$$

Proof. We may assume $X = \partial_z + \epsilon\partial_w$, for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$, is a generator of $(H^{\nabla})^{1,0}$. Thus satisfying $\nabla^*_X U = 0$. By construction, we have $B(\pi^*\partial_z) = \partial_w$. And therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^*_X(w\partial_w + \overline{w}\partial_{\overline{w}}) &= \epsilon\partial_w + wB\nabla^*_X\pi^*\partial_z + \overline{w}B\nabla^*_X\pi^*\partial_{\overline{z}} \\ &= \epsilon\partial_w + wB(\Gamma\pi^*\partial_z) \\ &= (\epsilon + w\Gamma)\partial_w \end{aligned}$$

yields the result. ∎

In particular we see $X = \pi^*\partial_z$ is the horizontal lift of ∂_z. Of course, ∂_w is the vertical. Next we find a $(1,0)$-form over $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\eta(\partial_w) = 1, \ \eta(X) = 0$. Clearly[1],

$$\eta = w\Gamma \mathrm{d}z + \mathrm{d}w. \tag{15}$$

We remark that $\mathrm{d}\eta^{(0,2)} = 0$ yields the holomorphic structure of the manifold T_M.

---

[1] We have the following result concerning transition functions.

Proposition. *Let* $(\mathcal{U}_1, z_1)$ *denote another complex chart of* M *defined on an open subset* $\mathcal{U}_1$ *with non-empty intersection with* $\mathcal{U}$. *Consider the corresponding* (z_1, w_1) *chart of* T_M. *Then:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial z_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \qquad w_1 = \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z}w, \qquad \lambda_1 = \left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial z_1}\right|^2\lambda, \qquad \Gamma_1 = \frac{\partial z}{\partial z_1}\Gamma + \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z}\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial {z_1}^2}, \qquad \eta_1 = \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial z}\eta.$$

Certainly the 1-form η is a covariant tensor and thus transforms like a tensor. However, η is defined on two complex dimensions. The third and the last identity hence yield the main result of Section 1, i.e. that $\lambda\,\mathrm{d}z\overline{\eta}$ is a well-defined global tensor.

Proposition 1.5. *The Sasaki metric* $\mathrm{g}_{1,0,1}$ *over* $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{C}$ *coincides with* $\lambda(\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\overline{z}+\eta\overline{\eta})$. *More exactly,* $\pi^*g = \lambda\,\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ *and* $\pi^\star g = \lambda\,\eta\overline{\eta}$.

Next we compute a few derivatives for later purposes. First, as above and by trivial reasons, we have

$$\nabla^*_z\partial_w = \Gamma\partial_w, \qquad \nabla^*_z\partial_{\overline{w}} = \nabla^*_{\overline{z}}\partial_w = 0, \qquad \nabla^*_w\partial_w = \nabla^*_{\overline{w}}\partial_w = 0. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^*_z\partial_z &= \nabla^*_z(\pi^*\partial_z + w\Gamma\partial_w)\\ &= \Gamma\pi^*\partial_z + w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}\partial_w + w\Gamma^2\partial_w\\ &= \Gamma\partial_z + w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}\partial_w\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and, in the same way,

$$\nabla^*_z\partial_{\overline{z}} = \overline{w}\frac{\partial\overline{\Gamma}}{\partial z}\partial_{\overline{w}}, \qquad \nabla^*_{\overline{z}}\partial_z = w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\overline{z}}\partial_w. \tag{18}$$

Easy enough,

$$\nabla^*_w\partial_z = \Gamma\partial_w, \qquad \nabla^*_{\overline{w}}\partial_z = 0. \tag{19}$$

Proposition 1.6. *We have*

$$\nabla^*_z\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}z\\ \mathrm{d}\overline{z}\\ \mathrm{d}w\\ \mathrm{d}\overline{w}\end{cases} = \begin{cases}-\Gamma\mathrm{d}z\\ 0\\ -w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z - \Gamma\mathrm{d}w\\ -\overline{w}\frac{\partial\overline{\Gamma}}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}\overline{z}\end{cases} \qquad \nabla^*_w\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}z\\ \mathrm{d}\overline{z}\\ \mathrm{d}w\\ \mathrm{d}\overline{w}\end{cases} = \begin{cases}0\\ 0\\ -\Gamma\mathrm{d}z\\ 0\end{cases}. \tag{20}$$

Checking $\nabla^*(\lambda\,\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\overline{z}) = \nabla^*\pi^*g = 0$, as expected, is now a simple exercise.

Regarding the vertical part, we start by

$$\nabla^*_z\eta = \nabla^*_z(w\Gamma\mathrm{d}z + \mathrm{d}w) = w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z - w\Gamma^2\mathrm{d}z - w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z - \Gamma\mathrm{d}w = -\Gamma\eta. \tag{21}$$

Further we find

$$\nabla^*_{\overline{z}}\eta = \nabla^*_{\overline{z}}(w\Gamma\mathrm{d}z + \mathrm{d}w) = w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\overline{z}}\mathrm{d}z - w\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\overline{z}}\mathrm{d}z = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$\nabla^*_w\eta = \Gamma\mathrm{d}z - \Gamma\mathrm{d}z = 0, \qquad \nabla^*_{\overline{w}}\eta = \nabla^*_w\overline{\eta} = 0. \tag{23}$$

And thus the identity $\nabla^*(\lambda\,\eta\overline{\eta}) = \nabla^*\pi^\star g = 0$ follows, again just as expected.

We remark that the torsion of the metric connection ∇^* is proportional to $\pi^*R^\nabla U$.

The ciconia metric with weights $f, a, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(T_M;\mathbb{C})$, where f, h are real, may now be introduced in coordinates:

$$\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h} = \frac{\lambda}{2}(f\,\mathrm{d}z\cdot\mathrm{d}\overline{z} + a\,\mathrm{d}z\cdot\overline{\eta} + \overline{a}\,\eta\cdot\mathrm{d}\overline{z} + h\,\eta\cdot\overline{\eta}). \tag{24}$$

We use $\alpha \cdot \beta = \alpha \otimes \beta + \beta \otimes \alpha$, so that now for instance $g = \frac{\lambda}{2}\, \mathrm{d}z \cdot \mathrm{d}\overline{z}$. Notice the middle term $g_a = \frac{\lambda}{2}(a\, \mathrm{d}z \cdot \overline{\eta} + \overline{a}\, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \cdot \eta)$ is also parallel for ∇^* when a is constant. This is just as predicted by the theory: the invariance of g_a under the diagonal representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2) = \mathrm{U}(1)$ and the reduction of ∇^* as a linear connection on T_M, as mentioned, in general with torsion. As observed in Section 1.1, every ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ defined on T_M is compatible with the above holomorphic structure.

2 Starting developments

2.1 Kählerian and pseudo-Kählerian ciconia metrics

Let us recall that a pseudo-Hermitian structure or metric on a complex manifold is given by a complex linear map, assuming $i(u \otimes v) = iu \otimes v = -u \otimes iv$,

$$H : T^{1,0} \otimes T^{0,1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ \text{ such that } \ H(\overline{v}, \overline{u}) = \overline{H(u, v)},\ \forall u \in T^{1,0}, v \in T^{0,1}. \tag{25}$$

On a chart $(z^j)_{j=1,\dots,\dim M}$, a pseudo-Hermitian metric appears as $\sum h_{jk} \mathrm{d}z^j \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^k$ with $h_{jk} = \overline{h}_{kj}$. Then $H(i\ , i\ ) = H$ and so the same is true for the real and imaginary parts of H. The real part $\Re H$ is the associated pseudo-Riemannian metric and minus the imaginary part $-\Im H = \Re(iH)$ is the associated symplectic 2-form.

Resuming with the analysis of a given ciconia metric on the complex manifold T_M, let us consider the pseudo-Hermitian structure H_a given locally by

$$H_a = \lambda a\, \mathrm{d}z \otimes \overline{\eta} + \lambda \overline{a}\, \eta \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z}. \tag{26}$$

H_a is the pseudo-Hermitian structure associated to g_a introduced in (3) and represented in (5) taking $f = h = 0$. Indeed, (24) agrees with $g_a = (H_a + \overline{H}_a)/2$. Now we have the symplectic form, also obtained from (24) as $g_a(i\ ,\ )$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Im H_a &= \frac{i\lambda}{2}(a\, \mathrm{d}z \otimes \overline{\eta} + \overline{a}\, \eta \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z} - \overline{a}\, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \otimes \eta - a\, \overline{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{d}z) \\ &= \frac{i\lambda}{2}(a\, \mathrm{d}z \wedge \overline{\eta} - \overline{a}\, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \eta). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

And the symplectic 2-form of a ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$:

$$\omega_{f,a,h} = \frac{i\lambda}{2}(f\, \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + a\, \mathrm{d}z \wedge \overline{\eta} + \overline{a}\, \eta \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + h\, \eta \wedge \overline{\eta}). \tag{28}$$

Proposition 2.1. *$\omega_{f,a,h}$ is closed if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial h}{\partial z} = \dfrac{\partial a}{\partial w} + w\Gamma \dfrac{\partial h}{\partial w} \\ \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial w} + w\Gamma \dfrac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial w} = \dfrac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial z} + \overline{w} h \dfrac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \overline{z}}. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Proof. Since $\eta = w\Gamma \mathrm{d}z + \mathrm{d}w,\ \overline{\eta} = \overline{w}\overline{\Gamma}\mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \mathrm{d}\overline{w}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta \wedge \overline{\eta} &= |w|^2|\Gamma|^2 \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + w\Gamma \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} - \overline{w}\overline{\Gamma}\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w + \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w}, \\
\mathrm{d}\eta &= \Gamma \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}z - w\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \overline{z}}\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}, \\
\mathrm{d}\overline{\eta} &= \overline{\Gamma}\mathrm{d}\overline{w} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \overline{w}\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma}}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
-2i\omega_{f,a,h} &= (f\lambda + h\lambda|w|^2|\Gamma|^2 + a\lambda\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma} + \overline{a}\lambda w\Gamma)\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + (a\lambda + h\lambda w\Gamma)\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad + (-\overline{a}\lambda - h\lambda\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma})\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w + h\lambda \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&= \lambda(f + hw\overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma} + a\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma} + \overline{a}w\Gamma)\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + (a\lambda + hw\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z})\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad - (\overline{a}\lambda + h\overline{w}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}})\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w + h\lambda \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling λ is positive and depends only of z,

$$\begin{aligned}
-2i\mathrm{d}\omega_{f,a,h} &= \lambda(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}w\overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma} + h\overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma} + \frac{\partial a}{\partial w}\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma} + \frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial w}w\Gamma + \overline{a}\Gamma)\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w + \\
&\quad + \lambda(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{w}}w\overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma} + hw\Gamma\overline{\Gamma} + \frac{\partial a}{\partial \overline{w}}\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma} + a\overline{\Gamma} + \frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial \overline{w}}w\Gamma)\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad - (\frac{\partial a}{\partial \overline{z}}\lambda + a\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} + w\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{z}}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} + hw\frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}\partial z})\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad - (\frac{\partial a}{\partial w}\lambda + w\frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} + h\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z})\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad - (\frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial z}\lambda + \overline{a}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} + \overline{w}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} + h\overline{w}\frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z\partial \overline{z}})\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w \\
&\quad - (\frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial \overline{w}}\lambda + \overline{w}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{w}}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} + h\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}})\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \\
&\quad + (\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\lambda + h\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z})\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w} + (\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{z}}\lambda + h\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}})\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}w \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\omega_{f,a,h}$ is closed if and only if the following system is satisfied:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\lambda - \frac{\partial a}{\partial w}\lambda - w\frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = 0 \\
\lambda\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + w\overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma}\frac{\partial h}{\partial w} + \overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma}h + \overline{w}\overline{\Gamma}\frac{\partial a}{\partial w} + w\Gamma\frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial w} + \overline{a}\Gamma\big) = \\
\qquad\qquad = \frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial z}\lambda + \overline{a}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} + \overline{w}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \overline{z}} + h\overline{w}\frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z\partial \overline{z}}.
\end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial w} + w\Gamma\frac{\partial h}{\partial w} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \overline{w}\Gamma\overline{\Gamma}h + \overline{w}\overline{\Gamma}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + w\Gamma\frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial w} + \overline{a}\Gamma = \frac{\partial \overline{a}}{\partial z} + \overline{a}\Gamma + \overline{w}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\overline{\Gamma} + h\overline{w}\frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z\partial \overline{z}}\frac{1}{\lambda}.
\end{cases}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z}\frac{1}{\lambda}) = \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z\partial \overline{z}}\frac{1}{\lambda} - \Gamma\overline{\Gamma},$$

another substitution in the previous equation yields the result. ∎

T_M is always a complex analytic manifold associated to the Riemann surface M, endowed with a smooth Riemannian structure. With respect to the Gray-Hervella classification of Hermitian 4-manifolds, one may only distinguish further the metrics which are Kähler or pseudo-Kähler. It is well-know the condition for these is the same: $\mathrm{d}\omega_{f,a,h} = 0$.

Two sets of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on T_M are worth considering in order to reduce the indeterminacy of (29). The first, $\mathrm{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U},\pi}$, is the set of functions which are the pullback by π of functions on M, i.e. functions which depend only of z. The second set, denoted $\mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2} = \mathrm{C}^\infty_{[0,+\infty[}(\mathbb{C})$, where $r^2 = r^2(u) = g(u,u) = \lambda|w|^2,\ u \in T_M$, is the set of functions φ on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ which depend only of r^2 and have derivatives $\varphi', \varphi'', \ldots$ at 0 (n.b.: we let $\varphi' = \mathrm{d}\varphi/\mathrm{d}r^2$).

Let us find first which ciconia metrics are Kähler, separately from the pseudo-Kähler. By Proposition 1.2, this means that f, h are real and $f, fh - |a|^2 > 0$. Recall from (11) the notation by K for the Gauss curvature of (M, g).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose a given ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ is Kähler with weight functions of any of the two types above. We have that:*
(i) if $f, a, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$, then $K = 0$, a is holomorphic and h is constant;
(ii) if $f, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $K = 0$ and a, h are constant;
(iii) if $f, a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $K = 0$ and a is holomorphic;
(iv) if $a, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $f(r^2) = f_1 r^2 + f_0$, $K = -\frac{2f_1}{h}$, h, f_0, f_1 are constant and a is holomorphic;
(v) if $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $a, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $K = 0$ and a is constant;
(vi) if $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $f, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $K = -\frac{2f'}{h}$ and a is holomorphic;
(vii) if $h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and $f, a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $f(r^2) = f_1 r^2 + f_0$, $K = -\frac{2f_1}{h}$, a, h, f_0, f_1 are constant;
(viii) if $f, a, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then $K = -\frac{2f'}{h}$ and a is constant.

Reciprocally, any of the conditions above imply the metric is Kähler.

Proof. We give some starting details of the proof and leave other to the reader. Since $r^2 = \pi^\star g(U,U)$, where U is the tautological section, applying ∇^* we find $\mathrm{d}r^2(x) = 2\pi^\star g(U, \nabla^*_x U) = \lambda\,\eta \cdot \overline{\eta}(w\partial_w + \overline{w}\partial_{\overline{w}}, x^v) = \lambda(w\overline{\eta} + \overline{w}\eta)(x)$, $\forall x \in T(T_M)$; this is just a coherent, albeit complicated, way of proving a formula for $\mathrm{d}r^2$. Immediately, any function $\varphi \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial w} = \varphi'\lambda\overline{w}, \qquad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} = \varphi'\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial z}w\overline{w}.$$

These imply $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} = w\Gamma\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial w}$. Using this either on $\overline{a}$, on h, or on both, the proof continues with the analysis of the system for $\mathrm{d}\omega_{f,a,h} = 0$ in Proposition 2.1. In case (vi) one has to further differentiate twice the system, with ∂_w and $\partial_{\overline{w}}$. ∎

The result is indeed global, although the system of PDE is local. More relevant, notice we end up with the following two inclusion diagrams

$$\text{(ii)} \Rightarrow \text{(i)} \Rightarrow \text{(iii)} \Leftarrow \text{(v)} \qquad\qquad \text{(vii)} \Rightarrow \text{(iv)} \Rightarrow \text{(vi)} \Leftarrow \text{(viii)}. \tag{30}$$

We observe, as complement of case (iv) of the Theorem, that if $K > 0$, then we have $f_1 < 0,\ f_0 > 0,\ r^2 \in [0, -\frac{f_0}{f_1}[$ and so the ciconia metric is defined only on a disk-bundle and is non-complete (on the fibres the metric is Euclidean because h is constant); if $K = 0$, then $f = f_0$ and a is bounded; finally, if $K < 0$, then we deduce $f_1 > 0$. Now we also observe case (iii) returns to case (i) once we ask that $fh - |a|^2$ should be a constant: because h cannot vary along the fibres. Hence the following example is surprisingly interesting here.

Proposition 2.2. *For any open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and any $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{U}}$ holomorphic in z, the Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}$*

$$(1 + |a|^2)\mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + a\mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{w} + \overline{a}\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \otimes \mathrm{d}w + \mathrm{d}w \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \tag{31}$$

is Kähler and flat.

Proof. We give two proofs. For the first, we just notice the biholomorphism $F(z, w) = (z, w + \int a(z)\mathrm{d}z)$ and recall the canonical metric on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}$. The second goes as follows. Recalling the unique associated Hermitian connection on $T(\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}$ whose $(0, 1)$-part coincides with $\overline{\partial}$ is given by $\tilde{\omega}^t = (\partial H)H^{-1}$, where H is the Hermitian metric matrix on a holomorphic frame, cf. [6, Chap. I, §4)] and ^{t} denotes the transpose, we then have

$$\tilde{\omega}^t = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{a}\frac{\partial a}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z & \frac{\partial a}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a \\ -\overline{a} & 1 + |a|^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial a}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

The connection is torsion free when the metric is Kähler, which is the case as deduced before. In other words, the Hermitian metric gives the Levi-Civita connection. Since $\overline{\partial}\tilde{\omega}$ is the transpose of the curvature, the result follows. ∎

We may construct the following singular spaces. Let us take the Weierstrass $\wp$-function in the z complex plane with period lattice Λ_1 and let Λ_2 be another fixed lattice in the w plane. Then the metric from Proposition 2.2, with $a(z) = \wp(z)$, descends partially to the toric manifold $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$. Unfortunately it is not defined on the $\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$, since Λ_1 is the pole set of $\wp$.

The next result is true for trivial reasons. The purpose is to notice the possibilities of case (vi) in Theorem 2.1 are stricter than it is shown.

Proposition 2.3. *In all cases in Theorem 2.1, the curvature K is constant.*

Ciconia metrics which are pseudo-Kähler, i.e. with holonomy in $\mathrm{U}(1, 1)$, may be found via the same restricting hypothesis as above. Recall the weight functions must now satisfy merely $fh - |a|^2 < 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *A ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ with weight functions of the two types above is pseudo-Kähler if f, a, h satisfy any of the conditions (i—viii) in Theorem 2.1, with $h \neq 0$, or any of the following when $h = 0$ identically:*
(ix) if $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$, then $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ and a is holomorphic;
(x) if $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then f, a are constant.

The proof is immediate. There is more choice for K on pseudo-Kähler metrics, as we shall see next.

Corollary 2.1. *Let f, h be constants and let $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi} \cup \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$.*
(i) The ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ is Kähler if and only if $f, fh - |a|^2 > 0$, $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ is holomorphic and $K = 0$.
(ii) For any $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$ non-vanishing and holomorphic, $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,0}$ is pseudo-Kähler.

Clearly, in most situations of case (i), we end up with a constant. Recall the metric $\mathrm{g}_{0,1,0}$ was known to K. Yano. The special ciconia metrics introduced here deserve a more detailed study, regarding the questions of geodesics, completeness, curvatures, complex and Lagrangian submanifolds, which cannot be pursued here.

A last important remark regards the case of previously seen ciconia metrics. Suppose $\phi : M \longrightarrow M$ is an isometry of the base (M, g). It is proved in [2] that, given any pair of functions $f, h \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$, then the induced map $\phi_* : T_M \longrightarrow T_M$ is an isometry for the metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,0,h}$. Supposing now, moreover, that we have a function $a \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{M,\pi}$, then we find that ϕ_* is an isometry for the ciconia metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{d}\phi_z \circ a_z = a_{\phi(z)} \circ \mathrm{d}\phi_z. \tag{33}$$

Indeed a may be seen as a vector bundle morphism along ϕ. The proof is easy, combining the result in [2] with the definition (3).

2.2 Kählerian Ricci-flat ciconia metric

Here we assume the given metric $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ on T_M is positive definite. Recalling the notation $\triangle = fh - |a|^2$, we thus have $f, \triangle > 0$. Since T_M is a Hermitian manifold with Hermitian metric H, we may use the well-known formula for the Ricci-form, the closed $(1,1)$-form

$$\rho = i\overline{\partial}\partial \log \det H. \tag{34}$$

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$\rho = 2K\pi^*\omega + i\overline{\partial}\partial \log \triangle\ . \tag{35}$$

Proof. By invariance of the unitary structure we may apply the type $(1,0)$ frame field $\pi^*\partial_z, \partial_w$ from Proposition 1.4, and therefore deduce that $\det H = \lambda^2\ \triangle$. Combining with (11) and $\pi^*\omega = \frac{i\lambda}{2}\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}$, the result follows. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} H \ &= \ \lambda\big(f + h|w|^2|\Gamma|^2 + 2\Re(\overline{a}w\Gamma)\big)\,\mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \lambda(a + hw\Gamma)\,\mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{w} + \\ &\quad + \lambda(\overline{a} + h\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma})\,\mathrm{d}w \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \lambda h\,\mathrm{d}w \otimes \mathrm{d}\overline{w} \end{aligned}$$

so $\det H$ is also confirmed from the matrix of H on the holomorphic frame ∂_z, ∂_w ∎

Following the well-know theory of Hermitian manifolds, we say that T_M is Kähler-Einstein if $\rho = \frac{S}{4}\,\omega_{f,a,h}$, for some $S \in \mathbb{R}\backslash 0$. For obvious reason, the space is said to be Ricci-flat if $\rho = 0$. Recurring to the formula in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we deduce the next result.

Proposition 2.5. *The equations for an Einstein metric* $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$*, with Einstein constant* $S/4$*, are equivalent to the following local system:*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^2 \log \triangle}{\partial w \partial \overline{w}} = -\dfrac{\lambda S h}{8}, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2 \log \triangle}{\partial z \partial \overline{w}} = -\dfrac{\lambda S}{8}(a + hw\Gamma), \\ \lambda K - \dfrac{\partial^2 \log \triangle}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}} = \dfrac{\lambda S}{8}(f + \overline{a} w\Gamma + a\overline{w}\overline{\Gamma} + h|w|^2|\Gamma|^2). \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Let $T_M\backslash M$ denote the complement of the zero-section.

Theorem 2.3. *For any Riemann surface* (M, g) *and every set of smooth functions* f, a, h *on* T_M *such that* $f > 0$ *and* $fh - |a|^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}$*, the ciconia metric* $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ *on the open manifold* $T_M\backslash M$ *is Ricci-flat.*

Proof. Since $r^2 = \lambda w\overline{w}$, then $\overline{\partial}\partial \log r^{-4} = -2(\partial^2_{\overline{z},z} \log \lambda)\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}z = \lambda K\, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}z$. Substituting this result in (35), yields $\rho = 0$. ∎

In fact the solution $1/r^4$ is found when we factor out $\triangle$ by a function of r^2. It is unique as such for the Ricci-flat ciconia metric. We may always write $\triangle = \psi/r^4$ and thus study the system (36) with 0 in the place of K and ψ in the place of $\triangle$, out of the zero-section.

We end this article with an improvement of the last results, meeting with the known questions of finding examples of complete Kähler Ricci-flat manifolds, *almost* Calabi-Yau since they lack compactness. Our next Theorem shall put in evidence the irrevocable role of the function a in such findings.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* (M, g) *be an oriented compact Riemann surface of constant Gauss curvature* $K = -1, 0$ *or* 1*. For any* $\epsilon_2 > \epsilon_1 \geq 0$*, let us denote by* $Z = Z_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ *the open manifold* $Z = \{u \in T_M :\ \epsilon_1 < r^2 < \epsilon_2\} \subset T_M$ *where* $r^2 = g(u, u)$*. Given the following conditions on a constant* $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *and on* $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, f, a, h$*, the respective ciconia metrics* $\mathrm{g}_{f,a,h}$ *on the manifold* Z *are Kähler and Ricci-flat:*
(i) if $K = 0$*, we consider* $Z_{0,+\infty}$ *with*

$$f(r^2) = f > 0 \text{ constant}, \qquad a \neq 0 \text{ constant}, \qquad h(r^2) = \frac{|a|^2}{f} + \frac{1}{fr^4} \tag{37}$$

and then the metric is complete.
(ii) if $K = 1$*, we let* $Z = Z_{0,\frac{1}{c_0}}$*, for any* $c_0 > 0$*, and take*

$$f(r^2) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - c_0 r^2}}{r}, \qquad a = 0, \qquad h(r^2) = \frac{1}{r^3\sqrt{1 - c_0 r^2}}; \tag{38}$$

and then the associated metric space may be completed to $\overline{Z}\backslash M$.
(iii) also if $K=1$, *we let* $Z=Z_{0,\beta_+}$, *where* $\forall c_0\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\beta_+=\frac{-c_0+\sqrt{c_0^2+4|a|^2}}{2|a|^2},\tag{39}$$

and let

$$f=\frac{1}{r}\sqrt{-|a|^2r^4-c_0r^2+1},\quad a\neq 0\ \textit{constant},\quad h=\frac{|a|^2r^4+1}{r^3\sqrt{-|a|^2r^4-c_0r^2+1}},\tag{40}$$

so that the associated metric space structure on $\overline{Z}\backslash M$ *is complete.*
(iv) if $K=-1$, *we let* $Z=Z_{\beta_+,+\infty}$ *with* β_+ *as above and take*

$$f=\frac{1}{r}\sqrt{|a|^2r^4+c_0r^2-1},\quad a\neq 0\ \textit{constant},\quad h=\frac{|a|^2r^4+1}{r^3\sqrt{|a|^2r^4+c_0r^2-1}},\tag{41}$$

implying the associated metric space structure on $\overline{Z}$ *is complete.*

Reciprocally, the above are all the Cauchy-complete solutions arising from the conditions found in Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, up to conformal change.

Proof. We wish to solve equation $fh-|a|^2=1/r^4$ with the functions found in Theorem 2.1, since these yield Kähler metrics. By analysis of each solution, we find $f,h\in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{r^2}$ and also a constant. And so case (vi) in that Theorem leads the only way forward. Even for the flat base M, in case (iii), this yields $f(z)h(r^2)-|a(z)|^2=1/r^4$ for the usual coordinates, and then by computing a few derivatives we get that f and a are constants. Hence we are bound to study case (vi), which implies constant Gauss curvature on the base, cf. Proposition 2.3. Since $K=-2f'/h$, we shall first study $K=0$. We find $f>0$ constant and then $h(r^2)=\frac{|a|^2}{f}+\frac{1}{fr^4}$. The metric is complete if the distance to the boundary is infinite. To obtain this, we let $a\neq 0$. Since M is compact, the distance may be read on the fibres of Z. Taking a radial curve with g-unit velocity on a fibre, we find respectively the ciconia metric length from the zero section to some point or from some point to the boundary at infinity (we need $a\neq 0$):

$$\int_0(h(r^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}r\sim\int_0\frac{1}{r^2}\mathrm{d}r=+\infty,\qquad\int^{+\infty}(h(r^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}r\sim\int^{+\infty}1\,\mathrm{d}r=+\infty,$$

as we wished, proving (i) in the present result.

Now let us assume $K\neq 0$. Recalling the derivative $'$ is with respect to r^2, we find the identity

$$\frac{2f'f}{K}+(|a|^2r^2)'=(\frac{1}{r^2})'.$$

The general solution, with $c_0\in\mathbb{R}$, and the respective h are thus

$$f^2(r^2)=\frac{-K(|a|^2r^4+c_0r^2-1)}{r^2},\qquad h(r^2)=\frac{|a|^2r^4+1}{r^4f}.\qquad(*)$$

We see first the case $a = 0$. If $K = -1$, we must have $c_0 r^2 - 1 > 0$ because $f > 0$. Thus $c_0 > 0$ and we must restrict to the domain $r^2 > 1/c_0$. However, $h = 1/r^3\sqrt{c_0 r^2 - 1}$ is not complete in the direction of infinity. If $K = 1$, we may have $c_0 < 0$ and $0 < r < +\infty$. Again h is not of infinite length on the fibres. We may also consider $c_0 > 0$ and restrict to $0 < r^2 < 1/c_0$. Then the determining distances are

$$\int_0 h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int_0 \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathrm{d}r = +\infty, \qquad \int^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{c_0}}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{c_0}}} \frac{1}{(1 - \sqrt{c_0} r)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \mathrm{d}r < +\infty.$$

Hence the associated metric space may be completed in the sense of Cauchy sequences to the *outer* boundary, i.e. not including the zero-section. With this we prove (ii).

Finally let us suppose the constant $a \neq 0$. For any $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we let

$$\beta_\pm = \frac{-c_0 \pm \sqrt{c_0^2 + 4|a|^2}}{2|a|^2}.$$

Hence $\beta_- < 0 < \beta_+$ and $|a|^2 r^4 + c_0 r^2 - 1 = |a|^2 (r^2 - \beta_-)(r^2 - \beta_+)$. Looking up on our general solutions (*), we see first the case $K = 1$. Then we have only $0 < r^2 < \beta_+$ and the singular points of h will be again in the extremes of the interval. We find

$$\int_0 h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int_0 \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathrm{d}r = +\infty, \qquad \int^{\sqrt{\beta_+}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int^{\sqrt{\beta_+}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\beta_+} - r)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \mathrm{d}r < +\infty$$

For $K = -1$, we must have $r^2 > \beta_+$. The determining distances to the boundary are

$$\int_{\sqrt{\beta_+}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int_{\sqrt{\beta_+}} \frac{1}{(r - \sqrt{\beta_+})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \mathrm{d}r < +\infty, \qquad \int^{+\infty} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}r \sim \int^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}r = +\infty,$$

showing any Cauchy sequence converges on the space $r^2 \geq \beta_+$. ■

The *almost* Calabi-Yau metrics on tangent bundles of orientable Riemannian 2-dimensional manifolds bring new features to real and complex geometry. We recall the construction may be generalised to any almost-Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) of any dimension, and this shall be pursued in the future.

The literature on similar structures does not provide any hint towards our new invariant construction. Comparison with several recent studies on special metrics on tangent bundles, like those originating from Calabi, Eguchi-Hanson, Gibbons-Hawking or Taub-NUT metrics, cf. [4, 5], indicate that ciconia metric stands quite alone in the panorama of Riemannian structures. For example, the well-known SU(2)-holonomy Stenzel metric on T_{S^2}, which is a special case of Eguchi-Hanson metrics, cannot be realized as a ciconia metric (since there e.g. the zero-section is a Lagrangian submanifold, cf. [9]).

References

[1] R. Albuquerque, *Homotheties and topology of tangent sphere bundles*, J. Geom. 105 (2014), no. 2, 327–342.

[2] —, *On vector bundle manifolds with spherically symmetric metrics*, to appear in Ann. Global Anal. Geom. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10455-016-9528-y

[3] D. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progr. Math., vol. 203, Birkhaüser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[4] E. Calabi, *Métriques kählériennes et fibrés holomorphes*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4^{e} série, t. 12 (1979), 269–294.

[5] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Classification of gravitational instanton symmetries*, Comm. Math. Phys. 66 (1979), 291–310.

[6] S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Kanô Memorial Lectures 5, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton U. Press, 1987.

[7] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural operations in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1993.

[8] O. Kowalski and M. Sekizawa, *Natural transformations of Riemannian metrics on manifolds to metrics on tangent bundles - a classification*, Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. (4) 40 (1988), 1–29.

[9] M. B. Stenzel, *Ricci-flat metrics on the complexification of a compact rank one symmetric space*, Manuscripta Math., 80, 151–163 (1993).

R. Albuquerque
rpa@uevora.pt

Centro de Investigação em Matemática e Aplicações
Rua Romão Ramalho, 59, 671-7000 Évora, Portugal

The research leading to these results has received funding from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia.